

# REVIEW OF MANUSCRIPT

FOR

*Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai Engineering*

PAPER CODE:

The Editorial board asks to provide objective comments on which a decision about the acceptance of the above-mentioned manuscript can be based:

**Is the paper a result of original research performed by the author(s)?**  Yes  No  
(eliminatory)

## **REVIEWER:**

### **I. OVERALL EVALUATION:**

1. Paper
  - of general importance
  - important in the field
  - very specialised
  - irrelevant
2. Problem statement
  - clear
  - needs extension
  - not clear
  - inadequate
3. Information contained
  - new
  - extension of existing information
  - repetition of known results
  - descriptive only
  - not correct
4. Interpretation of results
  - adequate
  - inadequate
  - not understandable
5. Contribution of the paper
  - presents a new theory
  - solves practical problems
  - without obvious significance

### **II. TECHNICAL ASPECTS:**

6. Title
  - adequately descriptive/fit to text
  - should be changed
7. Abstract
  - clear and adequate
  - needs revision
8. Language
  - grammatically good
  - slight revision
  - needs essential improvement
9. Presentation and style
  - adequate
  - too brief
  - long
  - contains irrelevant material
  - arrangement unsuitable
10. Illustrations, tables, equations
  - adequate
  - fig. \_\_\_ need alteration
  - table \_\_\_ need alteration
  - equation \_\_\_ need alteration
11. References
  - adequate
  - inadequate
  - incomplete

### **III. RECOMMENDATION**

12. Paper is
  - excellent
  - good
  - acceptable
  - weak
  - too speculative
  - too preliminary
  - outside the journal's scope
13. This manuscript
  - is acceptable for publication
  - is acceptable after revision  
(re-review required)
  - is acceptable after revision  
(re-review not required)
  - is not acceptable
  - needs additional refereeing

**IV. COMMENTS:**

Provide a description of the work, in no more than 200 words, highlighting its strengths and weaknesses.

If necessary, indicate the changes to be made or the topics to be improved in the revised version.

I have non-conflict of interest

Signature