



## PEER REVIEW SHEET

Reviewer's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Affiliation: \_\_\_\_

Title of manuscript: \_\_\_\_\_

Please review the enclosed manuscript by [Date]. To express your decision on the suitability of the manuscript for publication, please place an **X** on the corresponding dotted line (section I: Suitability for publication). Write your detailed comments and recommendations to the author in the reviewer's evaluation section below (section II: Evaluation). This peer review sheet will be sent to the author, without the reviewer's name.

Thank you.

## I. SUITABILITY FOR PUBLICATION

- 1. ACCEPTED in the initial form .....
- 2. CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE (for details, see section II):
  - a. Slight revisions of form/style required.....
  - b. Slight revisions of content required .....
  - c. Moderate revisions of form/style required.....
  - d. Moderate revisions of content required .....
  - e. Extensive revisions of form/style required .....
  - f. Extensive revisions of content required .....

## 3. REJECTED

- a. The manuscript does not add to scholarship.....
- b. The manuscript lacks grasp of topic.....
- c. Other (detailed in section II) .....

Would you be willing to re-evaluate the revised version of this paper?

Yes

No





STUDIA UNIVERSITATIS BABES-BOLYAI PHILOLOGIA Horea str. no. 31, 400202 Cluj-Napoca, ROMANIA Contact: philologia.studia@ubbcluj.ro

## **II. EVALUATION**

The title is clear and reflects the content of the paper.

The abstract synthesizes well the content of the paper.

The introduction outlines the relevance of the article for the given field and presents a clearly formulated thesis.





STUDIA UNIVERSITATIS BABES-BOLYAI PHILOLOGIA Horea str. no. 31, 400202 Cluj-Napoca, ROMANIA Contact: philologia studia@ubbcluj.ro

The introductory section of the article offers a good overview of previous studies on the same topic.

The methodology in use is coherently presented and there is a fair justification of it being preferred instead of other methodologies. / The paper has a solid and well-informed theoretical background, relevant for the analysis/ argumentation.

The paper is original and the contribution to the field is significant.





STUDIA UNIVERSITATIS BABES-BOLYAI PHILOLOGIA Horea str. no. 31, 400202 Cluj-Napoca, ROMANIA Contact: philologia.studia@ubbcluj.ro

The conclusions summarize clearly the results and the consequences/ implications.

Recent and relevant bibliography. All of the sources cited in the paper can be found in the bibliography section.

The style of the paper is academic. There are no spelling, grammar, or language usage mistakes.





STUDIA UNIVERSITATIS BABEŞ-BOLYAI PHILOLOGI/ Horea str. no. 31, 400202 Cluj-Napoca, ROMANIA Contact: philologia.studia@ubbcluj.ro

Other comments.

You are welcome to use electronic comments or the "track changes" feature in MS-Word in your comments or corrections to the manuscript. (Please do not forget to change the name or initials that you want to use for your comments and/or changes in order to guarantee your own anonymity. On the Review tab, in the Tracking group, click the arrow next to Track Changes, and then click Change User Name).

Do you agree to have your name published on *Studia Philologia*'s list of reviewers at the end of each calendar year? No other information will be disclosed on this list (such as the issue to which you contributed as a reviewer or the title of the article reviewed). Please place an **X** on the corresponding dotted line.

Yes .....

No .....

*Studia Philologia* is hereby allowed to specify the reviewer's name and email for future reaccreditation and indexing purposes. Please place an **X** on the corresponding dotted line.

Yes .....

No .....

Date:

Signature: