ROLE OF Gd3+: Ag CO-DOPING ON STRUCTURAL AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF LEAD TELLURITE GLASS CERAMICS

M. BOSCA1*, E. CULEA, L. BOLUNDUT, P. PASCUTA, V. POP, N. TOTHAZAN, I. VIDA-SIMITI

ABSTRACT. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and diffuse reflectance ultraviolet– visible (DR-UV-VIS) spectroscopies were used to investigated the lead tellurite glass ceramics doped with different contents of $Gd³⁺$ ions and co-doped with fixed amounts of Ag2O or metallic Ag nanoparticles (AgNPs). FTIR spectral studies have been made to put in evidence the presence of basic structural units in the studied glass ceramics samples. The obtained FTIR spectra reveal vibrational IR bands which are attributed to the presence of TeO₃, TeO₄, PbO₃ and PbO₄ structural units in the glass ceramics network. DR-UV–VIS spectra were used to calculate the optical band gap energy (E_{p}) . The obtained E_g values depend on the Gd³⁺ ions content and the nature of the codopant (Ag₂O or AgNPs) present in the studied glass ceramics samples.

Keywords: Lead tellurite glass ceramics; Gd³⁺ ions; Silver oxide; Silver nanoparticles; FTIR; DR-UV-VIS.

INTRODUCTION

Glasses and glass ceramics containing rare-earth (RE) ions attracted much attention in the last years due to their important properties promising for technological applications [1-8]. Thus, studies of these materials draw important potential applications in areas such as solid state lasers, optical fiber amplifiers, optical data storage, sensors, infrared to visible convertors, solar concentrators, phosphors, electro-luminescent devices, display monitor etc. [4, 9-13]. In this view, a considerable interest was accorded to glasses and glass ceramics doped with gadolinium ions [14- 18]. The optical and magnetic properties of glasses and glass ceramics containing gadolinium ions are determined by the content and distribution of Gd^{3+} ions in the host matrix as well as by the local environment of gadolinium ions.

 *1 Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, 103-105 Muncii Avenue, 400641 Cluj-Napoca, Romania. **

Corresponding author e-mail: Maria.Cleja@phys.utcluj.ro

On the other hand, the properties of glasses and glass ceramics doped with RE ions and co-doped with silver ions or AgNPs are intensively studied because of their interesting optical and luminescent properties that make them suitable for important technological applications in electronics and telecommunication [2, 6, 12, 19-22].

In order to extend the available information concerning lead tellurite glasses and glass ceramics doped with Gd^{3+} ions and co-doped with silver ions or AgNPs, in this paper we present the experimental data obtained by studying such materials by using FTIR and DR-UV-VIS spectroscopies. The aim of this study was to observe the influence of the Gd_2O_3 doping level and of the co-dopant nature (Ag₂O or AgNPs) on the structural and optical properties of the studied samples.

EXPERIMENTAL

Reagents, grade pure Gd_2O_3 , TeO₂, PbO, Ag₂O and metallic Ag nanoparticles (AgNPs) (particles size of 20-40 nm) were used to obtain samples with the chemical compositions listed in table 1. Required quantities of reagents were mixed together, in stoichiometric amounts, by grinding in an agate mortar to obtain homogeneous mixtures. The obtained mixtures were melted in porcelain crucibles using an electric furnace at 850 $\mathrm{^0C}$ for 15 minutes. The melts were quickly cooled to room temperature by pressing between two stainless steel plates. X-ray diffraction data shows that the samples from both studied series were glass ceramics.

The FTIR absorption spectra were recorded at room temperature with a JASCO FTIR 6200 spectrophotometer. All the samples were measured using the KBr pellet technique where 2 mg powder of each sample is mixed with 300 mg of KBr in an agate mortar for obtaining a homogeneous mixture.

DR-UV-VIS spectra were registered at room temperature using a PerkinElmer Lambda 45 UV-VIS spectrometer equipped with an integrating sphere. The obtained samples were measured in MgO pellets.

Sample no.	(mol%)			
	80TeO ₂ .20PbO	Ag ₂ O	AgNPs	Gd_2O_3
S ₀	100		-	
S1	99.50	0.50	۰	
S1'	99.70		0.30	
S ₂	98.50	0.50	-	
S2'	98.70	۰	0.30	
S3	96.50	0.50	۰	3
S3'	96.70		0.30	3

Table 1. Chemical composition for the studied glass ceramics

ROLE OF Gd³⁺: Ag CO-DOPING ON STRUCTURAL AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES ...

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

FTIR data

FTIR spectroscopy is an experimental technique that was used to investigate the local structure of lead tellurite glass ceramics doped with gadolinium ions and co-doped with fixed amounts of silver (Ag₂O or AgNPs). FTIR absorption spectra of studied samples are shown in figure 1. A deconvolution of the experimental FTIR bands was necessary since the majority of these bands are very broad consisting of a superposition of several individual absorption bands where the individual bands are due to vibrations characteristic of the basic structural unis from the studied samples. As a representative example, figure 2 illustrates the deconvolution in Gaussian bands for the FTIR spectra of the samples S2 (figure 2a) and S5' (figure 2b). The obtained individual bands were assigned based on data available in the literature [23-28] and are given in table 2.

Fig. 1. FTIR spectra of the studied glass ceramics samples

The FTIR data show the presence of PbO₃, PbO₄, TeO₃ and TeO₄ structural units in the studied lead tellurite glass ceramics network. In order to analyze the effect, of increasing the gadolinium ions content and the influence of co-dopants' nature ($Ag₂O$ or AgNPs) on the local structure of studied glass ceramics samples, we have calculated the relative integrated intensity $A_r = A_3/A_4$, where A_3 and A_4 approximate the relative number of $TeO₃$ and $TeO₄$ units.

Fig. 2. Deconvoluted FTIR spectra of the samples S2 (a) and S5' (b)

The compositional evolution of A_r for the both series of studied glass ceramics samples (co-doped with Ag₂O or AgNPs) are presented in figure 3. For both series of studied samples, A_r decreases with increasing the gadolinium ions content over the whole compositional range. This evolution can be explained by the progressive conversion of TeO₃ into TeO₄ structural units with increasing the Gd_2O_3 content. This assumption is well supported by the fact that increasing the $Gd₂O₃$ content of samples generates the increase of their degree of crystallinity and that in crystalline compounds tellurium ions are present mainly in their 4+ valence state. For glass ceramics co-doped with AgNPs the values of A_r are slightly higher than for the samples co-doped with Ag₂O. This suggests that not only the doping level with gadolinium ions but also the nature of the co-dopant (Ag₂O or AgNPs) plays an important role determining the local structure of these samples.

Fig. 3. The A_r ratio as a function of the $Gd₂O₃$ content for the studied glass ceramics samples

Optical gap energy

Optical band gap energy is an important property of glasses and glass ceramics which can reveal the structural modifications that can occur due to the compositional variation of the studied glass ceramics. In order to determine the optical band gap energy for the studied glass ceramics samples UV-VIS diffuse reflectance measurements were carried out. Generally the Kubelka–Munk remission function, F(R), is used to convert the diffuse reflectance into the equivalent absorption coefficient because this function can be considered proportional to the radiation absorption [29]. The optical band gap energy is determined using the plot of [F(R)∙hυ]² as a function of hu. The E_{g} values were estimated by extrapolation of the linear region of the mentioned plots (see figure 4).

Fig. 4. Variation of $(F(R) \cdot h \nu)^2$ vs. hu for some samples containing Ag₂O (a) and AgNPs (b)

Figure 5 shows the variation of optical band gap energy with the content of Gd_2O_3 for both series of studied glass ceramics. For the host glass ceramic matrix (80TeO₂⋅20PbO) the value of E_g is 3.578 eV. The addition of Ag₂O (S1) or AgNPs (S1') to the host glass ceramic lead to a slow decrease of E_g . For samples doped with Gd_2O_3 and co-doped with Ag₂O, the optical band gap energy first increases up to 3 mol% Gd_2O_3 and after that decreases for a further increase of the gadolinium ions content. A similar behavior was observed for the samples codoped with AgNPs, but in this case the values of E_g increase up to 1 mol% Gd₂O₃ and decrease for higher Gd_2O_3 contents.

Fig. 5. The evolution of E_g versus Gd_2O_3 content for the studied samples

The decrease of the E_g values with increasing the Gd_2O_3 content for both series of the studied samples can be due to the structural changes that are taking place in these samples. These structural changes are accompanied by a decrease of the average bond energy due probably to the forming of non-bridging oxygen's.

CONCLUSIONS

FTIR data of studied glass ceramics suggest that the local structure of the studied lead-tellurite samples is modified with the addition and increase of Gd_2O_3 content. Thus, FTIR data show a conversion of TeO₃ into TeO₄ structural units with increasing the Gd^{3+} ions content for both series of studied samples. In this process, the nature of co-dopant is also important, the amount of $TeO₃$ structural units being higher for samples co-doped with AgNPs in comparison with those codoped with $Ag₂O$.

ROLE OF Gd³⁺: Ag CO-DOPING ON STRUCTURAL AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES ...

The optical band gap energy, E_{g} , is affected not only by the increasing gadolinium ions content in the studied glass ceramics but also by the nature of codopant (Ag₂O or AgNPs). A decrease of E_e is observed for both kinds of samples. This process is related to a decrease of the average bond energy due to the formation of non-bridging oxygen's in the samples.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper was supported by the Post-Doctoral Programme POSDRU/159/1.5/S/137516, project co-funded from European Social Fund through the Human Resources Sectorial Operational Program 2007-2013.

REFERENCE

- 1. M. Venkateswarlu, M.V.V.K.S. Prasad, K. Swapna, Sk. Mahamuda, A. Srinivasa Rao, A. Mohan Babu, D. Haranath, *Ceram. Int.,* 40, 6261 (2014).
- 2. E. Culea, I. Vida-Simiti, G. Borodi, E. N. Culea, R. Stefan, P. Pascuta, *J. Mater. Sci.,* 49, 4620 (2014).
- 3. A. Lu, X. Hu, Y. Lei, Z. Luo, X. Li, *Ceram. Int.,* 40, 11 (2014).
- 4. M. Reza Dousti, Raja J. Amjad, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 420, 21 (2015).
- 5. G. Anjaiah, SK. Nayab Rasool, P. Kistaiah, *J. Lumin.*, 159, 110 (2015)*.*
- 6. L. Bolundut, E. Culea, G. Borodi, R. Stefan, C. Munteanu, P. Pascuta, *Ceram. Int.,* 41, 2931 (2015).
- 7. A. Dwivedi, C. Joshi, S.B. Rai, *Opt. Mater.*, 45, 202 (2015).
- 8. S. Arunkumar, K. Marimuthu, *J. Alloy Compd.,* 627, 54 (2015).
- 9. W.S. Souza, R.O. Domingues, L.A. Bueno, E.B. da Costa, A.S. Gouveia-Neto, *J. Lumin.*, 144, 87 (2013).
- 10. R.L. Leonard, S.K. Gray, S.D. Albritton, L.N. Brothers, R.M. Cross, A.N. Eastes, H.Y. Hah, H.S. James, J.E. King, S.R. Mishra, J.A. Johnson, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids,* 366, 1 (2013)*.*
- 11.J. Suresh Kumar, K. Pavani, M.P.F. Graça, M.J. Soares, *J. Alloy Compd.,* 617, 108 (2014).
- 12. E. N. Culea, P. Pascuta, M. Pustan, D. R. Tamas-Gavrea, L. Pop, I. Vida-Simiti, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 408, 18 (2015).
- 13.Hyun-A Park, Yl Kwon Lee, Won Bin Im, Jong Heo, Woon Jin Chung, *Opt. Mater.*, 41, 67 (2015).
- 14. S. Rada, M. Culea, M. Rada, P. Pascuta, V. Maties, E. Culea, *J. Mol. Struct.,* 937, 70 (2009).
- 15. Y. B. Saddeek, I.S. Yahia, K.A. Aly, W. Dobrowolski, *Solid State Sci.*, 12, 1426 (2010)*.*
- 16. P. Pascuta, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 21, 338 (2010).
- 17.N. Elkhoshkhany, Rafik Abbas, R. El-Mallawany, A.J. Fraih, *Ceram Int.,* 40, 14477 (2014).

M. BOSCA, E. CULEA, L. BOLUNDUT, P. PASCUTA, V. POP, N. TOTHAZAN, I. VIDA-SIMITI

- 18.H. Luo, X. Hu, W. Liu, Y. Zhang, A. Lu, X. Hao, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids,* 389, 86 (2014).
- 19. Z. Ashur S. Mahraz, M.R. Sahar, S.K. Ghoshal, M.R. Dousti, R.J. Amjad, *Mater. Lett.,* 112, 136 (2013).
- 20. E. Culea, I. Vida-Simiti, G. Borodi, E. N. Culea, R. Stefan, P. Pascuta, *Ceram. Int.,* 40, 11001 (2014).
- 21. R. J. Amjad, M.R. Dousti, M.R. Sahar, S.F. Shaukat, S.K. Ghoshal, E.S. Sazali, Fakhra Nawaz, *J. Lumin.*, 154, 316 (2014).
- 22. M. Reza Dousti, R.J. Amjad, R. Hosseinian S., M. Salehi, M.R. Sahar, *J. Lumin.,* 159, 100 (2015).
- 23. M. Bosca, L. Pop, G. Borodi, P. Pascuta, E. Culea, *J. Alloy Compd.,* 479, 579 (2009).
- 24. S. Rada, A. Dehelean, E. Culea, *J. Non. Cryst. Solids,* 357, 3070 (2011).
- 25. E. Culea, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids,* 357, 50 (2011).
- 26. E. Monsour, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 1014, 1 (2012).
- 27. E. A. Mohamed, F. Ahmad, K. A. Aly, *J. Alloy Compd.,* 538, 230 (2012).
- 28. M. Çelikbilek, A.E. Ersundu, S. Aydin, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 378, 247 (2013).
- 29.G. Theophil Anand, L. John Kennedy, J. Judith Vijaya, *J. Alloy Compd.*, 581, 558 (2013).